## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

United States of America,	) Case No. CR 3-13-71291 JCS
Plaintiff, v.	) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME ) UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Ryan Rancatore	on 11, 2013, the Court excludes time uniter the
Defendant.	) (13 m) 2013
by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the	on 11 , 2013, the Court excludes time under the 11-13 , 2013 and finds that the ends of justice served are public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § bases this continuance on the following factor(s):
Failure to grant a continuance would See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	ld be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature of the or law, that it is unreasonable to ex	ex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact pect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial shed by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	dd deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, due diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given counsel's other scheduled case commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.	
See 18 U.S.C. 9 3101(n)(7)(B)(1V).	en de dotain pursuand de CLICIXO
	d unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	stand of stranger of descriptions of the standard
DATED: 413	ACQUELINE SCOTT CORLEY United States Magistrate Judge  (E) $r(F)$
STIPULATED: Jank Canny Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney